



Offset of warm sensation by local air flow: Chinese and Danish preference

Yu, Juan ; Simone, Angela; Levorato, Gabriele ; Zhu, Yingxin ; Olesen, Bjarne W.

Published in:
Proceedings of Indoor Air 2014

Publication date:
2014

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Yu, J., Simone, A., Levorato, G., Zhu, Y., & Olesen, B. W. (2014). Offset of warm sensation by local air flow: Chinese and Danish preference. In *Proceedings of Indoor Air 2014* International Society of Indoor Air Quality and Climate.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

OFFSET OF WARM SENSATION BY LOCAL AIR FLOW: CHINESE AND DANISH PREFERENCE

Juan YU^{1,*}, Angela SIMONE², Gabriele LEVORATO³, Yingxin ZHU¹, and Bjarne W. OLESEN²

¹Department of Building Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

²ICIEE, Civil Engineering Department, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark

³Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

*Corresponding Author. Email: zhuyx@tsinghua.edu.cn

Keywords: Thermal comfort, Local air flow, People differ, Thermal adaptation

INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, evidences support that elevating air speeds may also be perceived as pleasant in particular in warm/hot and humid environments, which could also result in better perceived air quality (PAQ) (Melikov and Kaczmarczyk 2012, Zhai et al 2013) and lower energy consumption (Schiavon and Melikov 2008, Zhang et al. 2010). The potential of using higher air velocities, to provide room occupants' thermal comfort at elevated room temperatures, has been recognized in ASHRAE 55 (2010).

Many of the on-field available studies, concerning the acceptable use of higher air movement, have been conducted in warm/hot climate regions (Cândido et al 2011). While the acceptability of the cooling effects from the increased air movement, on occupants accustomed to cold or temperate climate, are not well defined. To have a direct comparison on the cooling effects of local air flows impacting the front upper body part, between cold climate adapted people (e.g. Danes) and warmer (e.g. people from Beijing in China), two similar experimental studies were selected and compared. In particular, an experimental study in a climate chamber office-like at the technical University of Denmark (DTU) was performed with 27 Danish participants. Warm room conditions and set ups were selected on references of Huang et al (2013) and Hua et al (2012) Chinese studies. Results of occupants' acceptances of air velocities and of airflow patterns' preferences from those similar studies were here compared and discussed.

METHODOLOGIES

The similar observed human subjects' studies included two experimental parts. In the first section the subjects were exposed to constant air flows provided by desk fans at warm room temperatures of 26~34 °C with absolute humidity constant at 12.2 g/kg for Danish people (Simone et al, 2014), and at warm room temperature of 28 ~34 °C with relative humidity in

the range of 40-50% in Huang's study (2013) having Chinese occupants. While the second part, the subjects were exposed to constant air flow and simulated natural wind air movement, having the mean preferred air speed chosen during the first exposure at 28°C and 30 °C of room temperature for Danish people, and 1.0m/s in Hua's study (2012) for the Chinese people. The subjects experiment, conducted first in China and later in Denmark, used the same desk fans developed at Tsinghua University in China, which could generate different types of air flow patterns with high air velocity, as explained by Hua et al. (2012).

The human subjects experiment with Danish population was conducted in an experimental climate chamber office-like at the International Centre for Indoor Environment and Energy (ICIEE) at DTU. More details about the room experimental set up, instruments, and participants can be found in Simone et al (2014). The second human subjects' experiment with Danish people was performed with room temperatures at 28 °C and 30 °C, and absolute humidity constant at 12.2 g/kg. The participants were exposed to the warm environmental conditions for 2 hours having 1h exposure to local constant air flow and 1h to the natural simulated wind air flow (dynamic air flow). The average air speeds of the two air flow patterns were 0.8m/s at 28 °C and 1.0m/s at 30 °C (chosen to be equal to the subject preference expressed during the first experimental exposure). The two types of air flows were randomly provided by the desk fans. During the exposure, the subjects were required to do task performance, such as Tsai and Typing, keeping the activity level equal to 1.2 met. In the end of the exposure to each type of air flow, they were asked to fill-in questionnaires (Q) feeling about returning the occupants' assessment about air movement and thermal sensation perceptions, thermal comfort and subjective symptoms.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Danish population were less adapted to air velocity above 1.0 m/s than Chinese. When the local air flow were provided under personal controls, the comfortable indoor air temperature could be extended to 31.7 °C for the Chinese and 29.7 °C for the Danes. The dynamic air flow was found satisfying more than the constant air flow for the Chinese' group as their thermal sensation improved. But no significant difference of TSV ($P>0.05$) due to the two types of air flows on the Danish subjects was found as well as their thermal comfort assessments according to the Independent Samples Test. However, the Danish subjects accepted the constant air movement as better cooling support, and were less satisfied with the dynamic air flow.

The differing demands of air movement in air velocity and airflow pattern between the Chinese and Danish population, might be contributed to their different behaviour actions adopted by the two population as consequence of their different thermal experiences. The Chinese subjects of Beijing live in the city, in which the outdoor temperature can easily reach higher than 32°C and the fans were widely used to keep comfort in summer time. So the Chinese are not subject to temperature excursion. However, the Danish subjects are normally exposed to cold outside temperatures that barely reach 30°C in summer time, resulted in that

they are more and more used to a very tight buildings making them to perceive as no good the strong and variable air movement which sometimes reaches very high air velocities.

CONCLUSIONS

Danish population were less adapted and, as consequence, less likely to air velocity above 1.0 m/s than Chinese. With the local cooling effect of the airflows under personal control, the indoor comfortable air temperature could be extended to 31.7°C for the Chinese and to 29.7 °C for the Danes. In additions, the Chinese preferred more dynamic air flow, while the Danes accepted more to the constant air flow. Findings indicated that people, used to different climate regions, present different demand on air movements regard air velocity and airflow patterns. More tests and results should be performed and compared with people from others climatic regions.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation and the Ministry of Science and Technology of P.R.China in the Sino-Danish collaborated research project: “Activating the Building Construction for Building Environment Control” (Danish International DSF project no. 09-71598, Chinese international collaboration project no. 2010DFA62410).

REFERENCES

- Simone A, Yu J, Levorato G, et al (2014). Thermal comfort assessment of Danish occupants exposed to warm environments and preferred air movement. Submitted for: Proceedings of Indoor Air 2014 Conference, Hong Kong, July 7-12.
- ASHRAE standard 55 (2010). Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers.
- Cândido C, de Dear R, Lamberts R (2011). Combined thermal acceptability and air movement assessments in a hot humid climate. *Building and Environment*, 46: 379-385
- Hua J, Ouyang Q, Wang Y et al (2012). A dynamic air supply device used to produce simulated natural wind in an indoor environment. *Building and Environment*, 47: 349-356.
- Huang L, Ouyang Q, Zhu Y et al (2013). A study about the demand for air movement in warm environment. *Building and Environment*, 61, 27–33.
- Melikov AK, Kaczmarczyk J (2012). Air movement and perceived air quality. *Building and Environment*, 47: 400–409.
- Schiavon S, Melikov AK (2008). Energy saving and improved comfort by increased air movement. *Energy and Buildings*, 40:1954–1960
- Zhai Y, Zhang H, Zhang Y et al (2013). Comfort under personally controlled air movement in warm and humid environments. *Building and Environment*, 65 109-117.
- Zhang H, Arens E, Kim D et al (2010). Comfort, perceived air quality, and work performance in a low-power task–ambient conditioning system Original Research Article. *Building*

and Environment, 45(1): January: 29-39.